

**Representations on the
Proposed Submission Version Local Plan**
(Regulation 19 Stage)

Schedule 4
Proposed Minor Modifications

Draft for Planning Policy Build Heritage Working Party

Representations on the Proposed Submission Version Local Plan (Regulation 19 Stage)

Schedule 4 - Proposed Minor Modifications

The following table sets out a working list of potential modifications to the North Norfolk Local Plan which the Council are proposing as minor changes, clarifications and corrections to address points raised during the Proposed Submission consultation. It is not possible to make changes to the document at this stage in the plan process as the Local Plan has been subject to consultation. During the Examination of the North Norfolk Local Plan, the Council will request the appointed Inspector to consider the requested amendment as a proposed modification. The modification are suggestions by the Council as to modifications which could be made to address concerns raised by those who made representations on the Plan. The schedule is split into 'Minor Modifications', and includes 'Inconsequential changes' and 'Policies Map Modifications'. Minor Modifications are those that do not materially affect policies, and could be considered clarifications and corrections, inconsequential changes are those which address inconsistencies such as presentational, typographical and grammatical errors, such Policy Map modifications are mainly amendments to the Policies Map which supports the Plan, but is not part of the Plan.

These modifications mainly address inconsistencies and errors in the geographical presentation of the extent of policies however It will ultimately be at the Inspector's discretion whether these suggested modifications are necessary and appropriately worded. The Inspector may consider that some of the suggested Modifications and inconsequential changes are in fact 'Main Modifications'. Main Modifications are those which are necessary for the plan to be found sound and/or materially affect the policies.

If agreed by the Inspector, the modifications will be subject to an additional consultation as directed by the inspector following the Local Plan Examination. It is possible that further main and minor modifications will be proposed during the examination and therefore this list is subject to change.

The table below relates to wording, tables, diagrams and maps in the North Norfolk Local Plan Proposed Submission Publication. The table sets out the following information:

1. Proposed potential modification reference (suggested change)
2. Page number - The page number of the North Norfolk Local Plan Proposed Submission publication
3. Policy / Site / Paragraph / Figure – The section North Norfolk Local Plan Proposed Submission publication
4. Proposed Modification – detail of the amendment proposed
5. Reason for change – details of why the change is proposed/justification for change

The following format has been used to denote modifications:

- red text_ = new text suggested
- ~~Strikethrough text~~ = text proposed for removal

Representations on the Proposed Submission Version Local Plan (Regulation 19 Stage)

Schedule 4 - Proposed Minor Modifications

Proposed Mod Ref	Document Section	Policy / Para / Table / Figure / Map	Page	Representation Ref & Representor	Proposed Modification	Reason for change
PMIN/1.0/01	1 Introduction	1.0.1 1.0.2	1	PC001 North Norfolk District Council	<p>1.0.1 The purpose of the North Norfolk Local Plan is to set out the long term vision and strategy for how towns, villages and the countryside of North Norfolk will develop and evolve up to 2036 in order to meet the needs and aspirations of north Norfolk's Residents. The Local Plan sets the planning framework with land use policies and development proposals which provide the foundation to guide, support, and deliver sustainable and climate resilient development in North Norfolk through planning decisions.</p> <p>1.0.2The Development Plan for North Norfolk includes this Local Plan, any adopted Neighbourhood Plans for their relevant area, the Minerals and Waste Local Plan prepared by Norfolk County Council, and the Broads Local Plan for that part of the District which lies within the Norfolk Broads.....</p> <p>1.0.3 The Local Plan contains the following elements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A Spatial Portrait setting out the context and conditions that exist in North Norfolk and highlighting issues to be addressed within the Plan • A-Spatial Vision setting out how the District will be at the end of the Plan period • 20 Strategic Aims & Objectives set over 5 themes which all new development is required to meet in order to implement the Plan • The Strategic Policies and Development Management policies which guide development to ensure the delivery of the strategic vision and objectives of the District. <p>1.0.4 The strategic policies are set out in Appendix 6.</p> <p>Appendix</p> <p>Add - Appendix 6: Strategic Policy identification</p>	For reasons of clarity and conformity

Proposed Mod Ref	Document Section	Policy / Para / Table / Figure / Map	Page	Representation Ref & Representor	Proposed Modification	Reason for change
					<i>(Add new appendix 6 which details the strategic policies as identified through background paper 12)</i>	
PMIN/2.2/01	2.2 Key Challenges	2.2.14	17	LPS320 Mr David Spray (Marine Management Organisation)	Add additional text after last sentence. The East Marine Plan also recognises the importance of tourism and recreation and the considerable amount of income it brings to coastal towns, supporting quality of life and providing health and well-being benefits.	To ensure consistency and clarity
PMIN/2.2/02	2.2 Key Challenges	2.2.17	17	LPS320 Mr David Spray (Marine Management Organisation)	Add additional text after last sentence The East Marine Plan, 2014 also contribute to an integrated and holistic approach to the management of marine and coastal areas with the aim of helping to deliver sustainable development Glossary Add ref to Marine Plans Marine plans, together with the Marine Policy Statement, underpin this new planning system for England's seas. East Inshore and East Offshore Marine Plans http://www.marinemanagement.org.uk/marineplanning/areas/east_plans.htm	To ensure consistency and clarity
PMIN/2.2/03	2.2 Key Challenges	2.2.7	15	PC002 North Norfolk District Council	The Dec 2021 Glasgow Climate Pact agreed a range of measures including strengthened efforts to build resilience to climate change, to curb greenhouse gas emissions and to provide the necessary finance for both. Collectively nations agreed to work to reduce the gap between existing emission reduction plans and what is required to reduce emissions, so that the rise in the global average temperature can be limited to 1.5 degrees. In order to stay within the parameters of the Paris Agreement and Glasgow commitments, i.e "hold the increase in global average temperatures to well below 2 C above pre industrial levels and pressure efforts to limit the temperature increase to 1.05C"	For reasons of factual update
PMIN/2.3/01	2.3 Spatial Vision	2.3.1	19	LPS217 Ms Sarah Mitchell (RSPB)The overall diversity and quality of North Norfolk's countryside and natural environment will have been maintained and enhanced , and the District's many Conservation Areas and Listed Buildings will have been conserved or enhanced.....	To ensure consistency

Proposed Mod Ref	Document Section	Policy / Para / Table / Figure / Map	Page	Representation Ref & Representor	Proposed Modification	Reason for change
				LPS156 Mr Michael Rayner (CPRE Norfolk)		
PMIN/2.4/01	2.4 Strategic Aims & Objectives	2.4.1	20	LPS717 Mrs Debbie Mack (Historic England)	Protecting Character by Section 2 Bullet 2 ... the wider landscape and its designated and un-designated non designated heritage assets .	To ensure consistency
PMIN/2.4/02	2.4 Strategic Aims & Objectives	2.4.1	20	LPS79 Mr John Long, John Long Planning (Blue Sky Leisure)	Section 1 bullet 4 Managing and adapting to the impacts of coastal erosion and flooding by restricting development in areas where it would expose people and property to risks and facilitating the replacement of buildings at risk and businesses at risk.	For reasons of consistency
PMIN/3.2/09	3.2 Renewable & Low Carbon Energy	3.2.10	28	LPS320 Mr David Spray (Marine Management Organisation)	Add following text as follows: 'innovative floating offshore wind in the windiest parts of our sea. Consideration is also given to the UK Marine Policy Statement (20) and the relevant policies within the East Inshore and East Offshore Marine Plans 2014 (21). _As such, there is considerable potential for offshore wind power to contribute to renewable energy production (20) < https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/uk-marine-policy-statement > (21) https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/312496/east-plan.pdf	To add context to the plan.
PMIN/3.2/04	3.2 Renewable & Low Carbon Energy	3.2.11	28	LPS148 Mr Michael Rayner	Para. 3.2.11 to add at the end of existing text...'high environmental value. The PPG also advises that where such proposals have demonstrated that greenfield land has to be used, poor quality agricultural land is used in preference to high quality land.	To add further context to the purpose of the policy.
PMIN/3.2/07	3.2 Renewable & Low Carbon Energy	3.2.9	28	PC004 North Norfolk District Council	Add following text to the beginning of Para. 3.2.9: The adopted LSA (2021) uses typical size categories for wind turbines, that are likely to come forward based on planning applications and sizes that are being manufactured. These are identified as: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • small scale wind turbines: with a hub height up to 30 metres (height to tip of blade up to approximately 45 metres) 	To add clarity to the plan.

Proposed Mod Ref	Document Section	Policy / Para / Table / Figure / Map	Page	Representation Ref & Representor	Proposed Modification	Reason for change
					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> medium scale wind turbines: with a hub height of between 30 -60 metres (height to tip of blade up to approximately 100 metres) large scale wind turbines: with a hub height of between 60 -80 metres (height to tip of blade up to 130 metres). 	
PMIN/3.2/01	3.2 Renewable & Low Carbon Energy	Figure 5	31	LPS40 Ms Sarah Mitchell (RSPB)	Figure 5 Add and delete wording on key to Figure 5 as follows: ' Key_Settlements hierarchy '	To provide clarity to the plan
PMIN/3.2/03	3.2 Renewable & Low Carbon Energy	Figure 5	31	LPS334 Miss Natalie Beal (Broads Authority)	Figure 5: add reference to 'Broads Authority Executive area' to key.	To add clarity to the plan.
PMIN/3.2/05	3.2 Renewable & Low Carbon Energy	Figure 5	31	LPS721 Mrs Debbie Mack	Figure 5 title – Wind Energy Areas (based on Landscape Sensitivity Assessment SPD 2021)	To add clarity to the plan.
PMIN/3.2/06	3.2 Renewable & Low Carbon Energy	Para. 3.2.2	27	PC003 North Norfolk District Council	Para. 3.2.2 'The Framework -NPPF states that when determining planning applications for renewable energy...'	To provide consistent terminology throughout the document.
PMIN/3.2/02	3.2 Renewable & Low Carbon Energy	Para. 3.2.6	28	LPS334 Miss Natalie Beal (Broads Authority)	Para. 3.2.6:'Careful consideration will also be needed in areas close to high sensitivity landscapes, such as the AONB, the Broads , Heritage Coast and Undeveloped Coast and the cumulative impacts of an increasing number of renewable developments within an area.'	To add clarity to the plan.
PMIN/CC2/08	3.2 Renewable & Low Carbon Energy	Policy CC2	30	PC005 North Norfolk District Council	Amend Policy CC2 point 2f. as follows: f. there is are appropriate details/ mechanisms in place to restore the land to its original use and the removal of the technology at the end of its generating term.	To correct grammar.
PMIN/3.3/01	3.3 Sustainable Construction, Energy Efficiency & Carbon Reduction	3.3.2	32	PC007 North Norfolk District Council	End of para add Ambitions for the decarbonisation of the economy across all sectors and through building regulations	For reasons or clarification and consistency

Proposed Mod Ref	Document Section	Policy / Para / Table / Figure / Map	Page	Representation Ref & Representor	Proposed Modification	Reason for change
PMIN/3.3/04	3.3 Sustainable Construction, Energy Efficiency & Carbon Reduction	3.3.5	32	LPS614 Cllr Nigel Dixon Ward Member for Hoveton & Tunstead (NNDC)	A Compliance Statement is required as a validation requirement setting out the target energy performance and the level of reduction in carbon to be achieved for each dwelling type proposed . Proposals must achieve the policy minimum and seek progressive betterment in energy performance and carbon reduction in relation to the Target Emission Rate of the 2013 Edition of the 2010 Building Regulations (Part L) (amended 2016) for residential developments	For reasons of clarification
PMIM/3.3/02	3.3 Sustainable Construction, Energy Efficiency & Carbon Reduction	3.3.6	33	PC007 North Norfolk District Councilapproach lays the foundations for the Governments Future Homes Standards currently anticipated which is anticipated to be introduced in a progressive way between 2022 and 2025 through increased building regulations . The Standard is expected to set out measures and time scales to achieve further reductions in carbon beyond	For reasons or clarification and consistency
PMIN/CC3/01	3.3 Sustainable Construction, Energy Efficiency & Carbon Reduction	CC3	34	PC010 North Norfolk District Council	Criterion 2 All development proposals should must be accompanied by a separate compliance statement setting out :	For reasons or clarity and consistency
PMIN/CC3/02	3.3 Sustainable Construction, Energy Efficiency & Carbon Reduction	CC3	34	LPS614 Cllr Nigel Dixon Ward Member for Hoveton & Tunstead (NNDC)	2 - All development proposals should be accompanied by a separate compliance statement setting out: a. the approach taken to address energy efficiency within the design and technical specification of the proposed development; b. comparative target energy performance and carbon emission rates of the proposal in relation to the benchmarked Target Emissions Emissions Rate and each dwelling type proposed .	For reasons of clarification
PMIN/3.5/02	3.5 Coastal Change Management	3.5.2	35	LPS320 Mr David Spray (Marine Management Organisation)	The National Planning Policy Framework states that 'in coastal areas, planning policies and decisions should take account of the UK Marine Policy Statement and marine plans' (25). In the case of North Norfolk, the East Inshore and Offshore Marine Plans, (2014) contribute to an integrated and holistic approach to the management of marine and coastal areas with the aim of helping to deliver sustainable development . The NPPF states that Plans should reduce the risk from coastal change.....' (25) Paragraph 170 National Planning Policy Framework (publishing.service.gov.uk)	To add context to the plan.
PMIN/CC5/01	3.5 Coastal Change Management	Policy CC5, Footnote 1	37	PC104 North Norfolk District Council	Amend Policy CC5, footnote 1 as follows:	To correct an error.

Proposed Mod Ref	Document Section	Policy / Para / Table / Figure / Map	Page	Representation Ref & Representor	Proposed Modification	Reason for change
					1. Excluding permitted development rights contained within the Town & Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (England) Order 2015 (as amended)	
PMIN/3.6/01	3.6 Coastal Change Adaptation	3.6.5	38	PC119 North Norfolk District Council	Add following text to the final sentence of Para. 3.6.5 as follows: ..'In order to maintain the sustainability of coastal settlements, relocation should take place close to the community. A key objective of the policy approach is to reduce risk, whilst providing appropriate flexibility. Consequently, an Applicant would need to demonstrate that a suitable site well related to the coastal community could not be secured, at the application stage before considering alternative locations. In such cases, the relocated development should be within or adjacent to a defined Selected Settlement. '	To add clarity for the purpose of the policy
PMIN/CC6/01	3.6 Coastal Change Adaptation	Policy CC6	39	PC119 North Norfolk District Council	To add text to Policy CC6, Criterion 2.3 and amend the numbering (1,2,3)of Criterion 2 to lettering (a.b.c.) as follows: Policy CC6, Criterion 2: Proposals for the relocation and replacement of dwellings affected by erosion will be permitted, provided that: 1- a. the development replaces a permanent dwelling (with unrestricted occupancy), which is within the Coastal Change Management Area and is forecast to be at risk from erosion within 50 years of the date of the proposal; 2- b. the new dwelling is used as a primary residence; 3- c. the new development is beyond the Coastal Change Management Area and is in a location that is well related to the coastal community from which it as displaced, unless it can be demonstrated that such a suitable site is not available; and ;	To add clarity and consistency to the plan
PMIN/3.8/02	3.8 Electric Vehicle Charging	3.8.1	45	PC011 North Norfolk District Council	Amend Para. 3.8.1 as follows: ...'As part of the Government's strategy there is an expectation that all new homes, where appropriate, will be electric vehicle ready by having a chargepoint available. As such, new development proposals that include the provision of parking will need to actively enable this transition From June 2022, Government are requiring all new homes with associated parking, including those undergoing major renovation, to have chargepoints installed at the point of construction. Charging infrastructure will also be required in new non-residential properties. Further details can be found in the Governments published guidance Taking Charge: The Electric Vehicle Infrastructure Strategy (39) and further guidance on meeting the new regulations can be found in the building regulation Approved Document S (40).	To update national guidance in the plan

Proposed Mod Ref	Document Section	Policy / Para / Table / Figure / Map	Page	Representation Ref & Representor	Proposed Modification	Reason for change
					39 Taking charge: the electric vehicle infrastructure strategy (publishing.service.gov.uk) 40 Approved Document S: Infrastructure for the charging of electric vehicles (publishing.service.gov.uk)	
PMIN/3.8/03	3.8 Electric Vehicle Charging	3.8.2	45	PC108 North Norfolk District Council	Replace the word Framework with NPPF near the beginning of the second sentence of Para. 3.8.2 as follows: 'In addition, the Framework NPPF requires Local Planning Authorities, if setting local parking standards, to take into account the need to ensure an adequate provision of spaces for charging plug-in and other ultra-low emission vehicles.'	To provide consistent terminology throughout the document.
PMIN/3.8/04	3.8 Electric Vehicle Charging	3.8.3	46	PC022 North Norfolk District Council	Remove 'draft' from text as follows: Para. 3.8.3 last sentence ' Any such future standards will be a material consideration and consequently , relevant development schemes will need to accord with either these standards or the details set out in this draft policy , whichever provides the greater level of EV chargepoint provision.	Correction - to provide consistency throughout the document.
PMIN/3.8/01	3.8 Electric Vehicle Charging	3.8.7	46	LPS384 Mr Garth Hanlon, Savills (Holkham Estate)	Remove 'draft' from text as follows: Last sentence of Para. 3.8.7' 'Any such future standards will be a material consideration and consequently, any relevant development schemes will need to accord with either these standards or the details set out in this draft policy, whichever is the greater level of EV chargepoint provision.	Correction - to provide consistency throughout the document.
PMIN/3.10/03	3.10 Biodiversity Net Gain	3.10.10	51	PC109 North Norfolk District Council	Add 'most' and delete 'agricultural' and amend associated footnote as follows: 'Any alternative off-site provision must avoid the best and most versatile agricultural land.(46) (46) PPG Paragraph: 001 Reference ID: 8-001-20190721 There are five grades of agricultural land...'	PMIN/3.10/03
PMIN/3.10/01	3.10 Biodiversity Net Gain	3.10.4	50	LPS627 Mr Lyndon Swift (Weybourne Parish Council)	Add the following text to Para. 3.10.4: 'Measurable biodiversity net gain will be sought for all qualifying development, as defined by the Environment Act and national policy and guidance and at the very least in accordance with the minimum	PMIN/3.10/01

Proposed Mod Ref	Document Section	Policy / Para / Table / Figure / Map	Page	Representation Ref & Representor	Proposed Modification	Reason for change
					<p>requirements of the policy and proportionate to the scale of the proposal....'</p> <p>Add after last sentence: Exemptions are in line with the Environment Act and national policy/guidance (as amended) and include; permitted development, householder applications, development of specific types of ownership that may be disproportionately impacted by the requirement (such as residential self-build), and brown-field sites that meet specific criteria. The mandatory net gain will not apply to; nationally significant infrastructure projects (NSIPs), marine development (the government is working separately to define an approach to marine net gain), and irreplaceable habitat sites (such as, ancient woodland, sand dunes and salt marsh).</p>	
PMIN/3.10/04	3.10 Biodiversity Net Gain	Footnote 41	49	PC112 North Norfolk District Council	<p>Update NPPF references at footnote 41 as follows:</p> <p>41 NPPF, 2021 paragraphs 145, 153, 174 (d), 179(b), 180 (d) and as set out in the PPG Natural Environment Section</p>	PMIN/3.10/04
PMIN/CC10/01	3.10 Biodiversity Net Gain	Policy CC10	52	LPS100 Dr Victoria Holliday	<p>Amend the wording to Policy CC10 as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Qualifying development must achieve a minimum of 10% Biodiversity Net Gain, or higher as stipulated in national legislation, over the pre-development biodiversity value as measured by the DEFRA Biodiversity Metric or agreed equivalent. 	PMIN/CC10/01
PMIN/CC10/02	3.10 Biodiversity Net Gain	Policy CC10	52	LPS503 Mr Mike Jones (Norfolk Wildlife Trust)	<p>Add footnote to Policy CC10, Criterion 2b) as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Development proposals will be accompanied by a biodiversity net gain strategy that; <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Establishes the pre-development biodiversity value of the development site; Demonstrates that the mitigation hierarchy (2) below has been employed in securing biodiversity net gain; <p>(2) detailed in Table 1: Applying the Mitigation Hierarchy</p>	PMIN/CC10/02
PMIN/3.11/01	3.11 Green Infrastructure	3.11.9	54	PC013 North Norfolk District Council	<p>Remove text from Para. 3.11.9 as follows:</p> <p>The England Coast Path is a new long-distance trail that will eventually allow people to walk around the whole of the English coast, designated under the Countryside and Rights of Way Act, 2000,(CROW) and Marine</p>	PMIN/3.11/01

Proposed Mod Ref	Document Section	Policy / Para / Table / Figure / Map	Page	Representation Ref & Representor	Proposed Modification	Reason for change
					and Coastal Access Acts. Natural England has a statutory duty to provide this path and expects the path to be complete in 2020. The designation of Coastal Margin land enables spreading room for the coastal trail and aims to ensure the public enjoyment of this area by establishing new rights of access and to make the extent of people's access rights clearer and more cohesive on the ground.	
PMIN/3.12/01	3.12 Trees, Hedgerows & Woodland	3.12.1	55	PC014 North Norfolk District Council	Amend text to Para. 3. In line with the national ambitions of the government's 25 Year Environment Plan(48), there is a strong local to part to play to mitigate and adapt to climate change and reduce pollution by making our towns and villages more liveable by providing more and better green spaces and tree cover.	PMIN/3.12/01
PMIN/CC12/01	3.12 Trees, Hedgerows & Woodland	Policy CC12, Criterion 2	57	LPS403 Sarah Hornbrook, Bidwells (ESCO Developments, Flagship Housing Group & Lovell Partnerships) LPS432 Jake Lambert, Bidwells (Hopkins Homes) LPS459 Jake Lambert, Bidwells (Broadland Housing Association) LPS476 Jake Lambert, Bidwells (Crisp Malting Group)	Amend Policy CC12 Criteria 1 and 2 as follows: 1. The retention of existing trees and hedgerows and the provision of new trees and hedgerows including street trees within a proposal will be supported. The planting of appropriate native new trees, hedgerows and woodland throughout the District having regard to the North Norfolk Landscape Character Assessment will be encouraged;..... 2. Development that harms or requires the loss of a protected tree, hedgerow or woodland(1) will only be permitted in exceptional circumstances where the public benefit of the development would clearly outweigh the loss or deterioration of any tree, hedgerow or woodland. In such circumstances, adequate replacement provision, taking account of size, comparable biomass and appropriate native species for the location, will be required.	PMIN/CC12/01
PMIN/3.13/02	3.13 Protecting Environmental quality	3.13.6 – 3.13.14	58-59	PC116 North Norfolk District Council	Consequential re numbering of paragraphs due to the insertion of main mod PMAIN/3.13/01. 3.13.6 – 3.13.13 3.13.7 – 3.13.14 3.13.8 – 3.13.15 3.13.9 – 3.13.16 3.13.10 – 3.13.17	PMIN/3.13/02

Proposed Mod Ref	Document Section	Policy / Para / Table / Figure / Map	Page	Representation Ref & Representor	Proposed Modification	Reason for change
					3.13.11 – 3.13.18 3.13.12 – 3.13.19 3.13.13 – 3.13.20 3.13.14 – 3.13.21	
PMIN/3.13/01	3.13 Protecting Environmental Quality	3.13.8	58	LPS336 Miss Natalie Beal (Broads Authority)	Add text to Para. 3.13.8 as follows: The Norfolk Coast Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty Partnership states as part of its 20 year vision that "the area will still be essentially unspoilt with a strong feeling of remoteness, peace and tranquillity, with wide skylscapes, seascaapes and dark night skies that show the richness and detail of constellations." (53). The Broads Authority also has intrinsically dark skies that are protected through its Local Plan. External lighting in new development should be limited to that necessary for security and consideration should also be given to ways of minimising light pollution using sensitive design details, for example, to avoid large glazed areas.	PMIN/3.13/01
PMIN/4.1/04	4.1 Spatial Strategy	4.1.9	62	LPS500 Mr Ed Abigail (Environment Agency)	Add the following text to the end of Para. 4.1.9 as follows: 4.1.9 They do however have the level of service and facility provision to meet the classification and appropriate growth could be delivered should suitable development proposals come forward. 'Development in Horning is subject to a Joint Position Statement and updated Statement of Fact by Anglian Water. Issues in Horning relate to Water Recycling Centre permit compliance, increased flows due to groundwater and surface water infiltration and nutrient loading. The Council is working jointly with the Broads Authority, the EA and Anglian Water to resolve this. More details can be found in the Council's Infrastructure Delivery Plan.'	To add updated information for clarity to the plan
PMIN/4.1/02	4.1 Spatial Strategy	Figure 6 & Figures 3, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11	Various	LPS516 Mr Roy Allen PC073 North Norfolk District Council	Amend Figures 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 and 11 to <u>remove the spatial reference to the village of Langham</u> it is not a Small Growth Village.	To provide consistency /factual correction
PMIN/SS1/03	4.1 Spatial Strategy	Policy SS1, Criterion 1	64	LPS242 Julia Edwards, Brown & Co and Corylus Planning & Environmental Ltd	Amend text to second sentence of Policy SS1, Criterion 1 as follows: Spatial Strategy 1. Where sustainable alternatives are available, m Major development will not be permitted in the North Norfolk Coast Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty unless there are exceptional circumstances and it can be demonstrated that the proposal is in the public interest. Development will be located where it minimises.....	To add consistency to the policies

Proposed Mod Ref	Document Section	Policy / Para / Table / Figure / Map	Page	Representation Ref & Representor	Proposed Modification	Reason for change
PMIN/SS1/04	4.1 Spatial Strategy	Policy SS1, Criterion 3(a)	64	PC020 North Norfolk District Council	Add text at the end of Criterion 3a. as follows: 3. Outside of the defined boundaries of Small Growth Villages residential development will be permitted only where all of the following criteria are satisfied: a. The site immediately abuts the defined Settlement Boundary ; and,	To add clarity to the plan
PMIN/SS1/01	4.1 Spatial Strategy	Policy SS1, Criterion 3(e)	65	LPS452 Kayir Mahil, WSP Ltd (Colegate Management) LPS322 Roger Welchman, Armstrong Rigg Planning (Kelling Estate LLP) LPS216, LPS228, LPS229, LPS232 Ms Gabrielle Rowan, Pegasus (C&S Norfolk Ltd) LPS312 Alex Munro, Armstrong Rigg Planning (Westmere Homes)	Amend Policy SS1, Criterion 3e as follows: Criterion '3. Outside of the defined boundaries of Small Growth Villages residential development will be permitted only where all of the following criteria are satisfied:...' e. The proposal incorporates substantial proportionate community benefits, including necessary infrastructure and service improvements and improved connectivity to the village and wider GI network; and,'	To provide consistency throughout the plan
PMIN/SS1/02	4.1 Spatial Strategy	Policy SS1, Criterion 3(f)	65	LPS177/ LPS178 Ms Beccy Rejzek, Lanpro (Firs Farm Partnership)	Provide additional footnotes in relation to Policy SS1, Criterion 3f. as follows: Criterion 3, Outside of the defined boundaries of Small Growth Villages residential development will be permitted only where all of the following criteria are satisfied: f. In the case of sites in excess of 0.25 hectares, the site, together with any adjacent developable land (2), has first been offered to local Registered Social Landlords local (3) on agreed terms (4) which would allow its development for affordable homes, and such an offer has been declined. 2. 'adjacent developable land' relates to land all in the same ownership. 3. 'local Registered Social Landlords' that are active in the area. 4. 'agreed terms' relates to the terms agreed with the Local Authority.	To add clarity to the policy

Proposed Mod Ref	Document Section	Policy / Para / Table / Figure / Map	Page	Representation Ref & Representor	Proposed Modification	Reason for change
PMIN/4.1/01	4.1 Spatial Strategy	Table 2	63	PC118 North Norfolk District Council	See Table 2 Small Growth Villages Housing Apportionment that provides updated Indicative Housing Allowances for Small Growth Villages and updated footnotes. <i>(see updated Table 2 at end of Schedule).</i>	To provide updated information in the plan
PMIN/4.1/03	4.1 Spatial Strategy	Table 2	63	LPS500 Mr Ed Abigail, Environment Agency	See Table 2 Small Growth Villages Housing Apportionment, with additional footnote as follows: '3. Development should take account of the Joint Position Statement on Development in the Horning Water Recycling Centre Catchment and subsequent future revisions.(add hyperlink).'	To add updated information for clarity to the plan
PMIN/5.1/01	5.1 Health & Wellbeing	5.1.1	71	LPS529 Mr Thomas Clare (NHS Norfolk & Waveney CCG (ICS Estates))	The Norfolk and Waveney Sustainable and Transformation Partnership (STP) The Norfolk and Waveney Integrated Care System (ICS) , provide an integrated system of leadership in health provision and have a Long Term Plan and STP Estates Strategy in order to deliver cost efficient services in relation to planned growth.	To correct updated information
PMIN/5.1/02	5.1 Health & Wellbeing	5.1.3	71	PC105 North Norfolk District Council	Contributions will be sought on the advice of the Norfolk and Waveney Sustainable and Transformation Partnership The Norfolk and Waveney Integrated Care System (ICS) , where it is advised as a result any specific proposal developer funding to specific health care projects such as contributions towards new doctor's surgery / medical facility are required to enable surgeries and other services to expand and address the needs arising from growth	To ensure consistency-factual update
PMIN/5.1/03	5.1 Health & Wellbeing	5.1.6	72	LPS610 Ms Kerry Harris PC107 North Norfolk District Council	Major Planning applications for residential development of all sizes and HIAs should be informed by the Healthy Planning Checklist for Norfolk, which is available as Appendix 1 in the Health Protocol (61) and the current guidance on HIA use in the planning process (add new footnote.) Footnote(x) Health Impact Assessment in spatial planning. A guide for local authority public health and planning teams 2020 and subsequent updates.	For reasons of clarification
PMIN/5.1/04	5.1 Health & Wellbeing	5.1.7	72	PC107 North Norfolk District Council	Discussions and comments provided on all planning applications (50 units and above) will make use of the criteria set out in the Health and Well-being Checklist (Appendix 1) of the Health Protocol. It is therefore in everybody's interests to utilise this protocol in the early stages of a proposal In line with the Protocol discussions and advice on planning applications will be sought from the Health Authorities for housing developments of 50	For reasons of clarity and consistency

Proposed Mod Ref	Document Section	Policy / Para / Table / Figure / Map	Page	Representation Ref & Representor	Proposed Modification	Reason for change
					<p>dwelling or more and for all planning applications including care homes, housing for the elderly, student accommodation and any proposals which would lead to significant loss of public open space. This should include any relevant pre-application discussions. For developments below 50 dwellings which may have an impact upon health services then the ICS Estates' Group should also be contacted for an initial view. Discussions and comments provided on all planning applications will make use of the criteria set out in the Health and Wellbeing Checklist (Appendix 1).</p>	
PMIN/HC1/01	5.1 Health & Wellbeing	HC1	72	LPS46 Dr Victoria Holiday	<p>Amend criterion 1 A Health Impact Assessment will be required for development proposals of 500 250 dwellings or more. For Major development on all non-allocated sites an accompanying HIA must be provided where there is the potential for significant impacts.</p> <p>Amend criteria 2 Major development should be informed by the Planning In Health Protocol and have regard to the Healthy Planning Checklist as detailed in the Planning in Health Protocol (1) and the updated criteria in</p> <p>Footnote 1 Planning In Health Protocol, Norfolk Strategic Planning Forum 2019 2022 and subsequent updates.</p>	For reasons of clarification and consistency
PMIN/5.2/01	5.2 Provision & Retention of Open Spaces	5.2.1	72	PC026 North Norfolk District Council	<p>Policy HC2 is one of a number of policies in the Plan dealing with the use and provision of all types of green infrastructure</p>	For reasons or clarity
PMIN/5.2/02	5.2 Provision & Retention of Open Spaces	5.2.3	73	LPS69 Dr Victoria Holliday	<p>North Norfolk has a diverse range of designated and un-designated open spaces (62). These perform a range of functions and make a significant contribution to the character of the District. Many of these lie within the built up areas of the settlements selected for growth in this Plan and are in locations where, without policy protection, they may be susceptible to development pressures. It is therefore important that these spaces are protected from the potential impacts of development whilst allowing improvements to their recreational and / or environmental value. This Plan designates three types of green space:.....</p>	For reasons of clarity and consistency

Proposed Mod Ref	Document Section	Policy / Para / Table / Figure / Map	Page	Representation Ref & Representor	Proposed Modification	Reason for change
PMIN/HC2/01	5.2 Provision & Retention of Open Spaces	HC2	75	LPS69 Dr Victoria Holliday	Criteria 5 Development on visually important open spaces including those designated as Open Land Areas and Local Green Spaces on the Policies Map will not usually be supported permitted unless: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • it enhances the open character and/or recreational use of the land; and • is surplus to requirements (taking into account all of the functions it can perform), or, • where provision of equal greater benefit is provided in the locality. 	For reasons of clarity
PMIN/5.3/01	5.3 Provision & Retention of Local Facilities	5.3.2	75	PC027 North Norfolk District Council	...and show that the property has been subject to appropriate marketing for a continuous period of at least 12 months in order to ascertain whether another operator is interested in running the facility / service....	For reasons of clarity
PMIN/HC3/01	5.3 Provision & Retention of Local Facilities	HC3	76	LPS251 Mr Tom Clarke (Theatres Trust) LPS640 Mr Lyndon Swift (Weybourne Parish Council)	Part 2b b b. a viability test has demonstrated that the use is no longer viable; and, a viability test has demonstrated that the use is no longer viable and could not be made viable under alternative models of operation.	For reasons of clarity and consistency
PMIN/5.4/01	5.4 Infrastructure Provision, Developer Contributions & Viability	5.4.11	79	Mr Thomas Clare, NHS Norfolk & Waveney CCG (ICS Estates)Contributions will be sought on the advice of the Norfolk and Waveney Sustainable and Transformation Partnership (STP) The Norfolk and Waveney Integrated Care System (ICS) , where it is advised as a result....	To ensure consistency-factual update
PMIN/5.4/02	5.4 Infrastructure Provision, Developer Contributions & Viability	5.4.12	79	PC028 North Norfolk District Council	Change to:.... 'In line with Government advice the land -purchase price of land must fully reflect the cumulative policy costs of adopted Plans ...'	For reasons of clarity
PMIN/HC4/01	5.4 Infrastructure Provision, Developer Contributions & Viability	HC4 (4h)	81	PC029 North Norfolk District Council	Part 4.h visitor impact mitigation on European sites from additional pressure on Natura 2000 sites in line accordance with the emerging Norfolk Green Infrastructure & Recreational Impact Avoidance & Mitigation Strategy mitigation and monitoring strategy for recreational impacts	To ensure consistency and clarity

Proposed Mod Ref	Document Section	Policy / Para / Table / Figure / Map	Page	Representation Ref & Representor	Proposed Modification	Reason for change
					on those sensitive sites;	
PMIN/HC4/02	5.4 Infrastructure Provision, Developer Contributions & Viability	HC4(6)	82	LPS608 Ms Kerry Harris	<p>Criteria 6 Proposals that are not accompanied by a viability assessment (3) considered to be fully policy compliant will be taken as fully policy compliant. do not need to be accompanied by a viability assessment.</p> <p>Criteria 7 add footnote 3 Development proposals that seek to depart from policy on viability grounds must be supported by a viability assessment (3) at validation stage that is suitable, proportionate, and transparent and accords with the required Council's methodology. Assessments should consider alternative funding mechanisms to aid scheme viability (3) In all cases the submitted viability assessment will be made publicly available.</p>	For reasons of clarity
PMIN/5.5/01	5.5 Fibre to the Premises (FTTP)	5.5.3 (b)	82	PC030 North Norfolk District Council	evidence that an agreement to connect to the development site to the fibre broadband network has been secured, and details are provided on how the physical infrastructure onsite is capable of supporting gigabit-capable networks;	For reasons of clarity
PMIN/HC7/01	5.7 Parking Provision	Policy HC7 & Footnote 1	85	PC110 North Norfolk District Council	<p>Amend Policy HC7 , Criterion 2 as follows: Development proposals make provision for vehicle and cycle parking in accordance with the latest Norfolk County Council Parking Guidelines Standards(1) as a 'starting point' which may be varied in order to reflect local conditions such as the availability of public parking, sustainable travel modes and design and conservation objectives.</p> <p>Footnote 1. reference updated as follows: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Norfolk County Council Parking Standards for Norfolk 2007 (with September 2020 revised use class references added) Guidelines for new developments in Norfolk (Revised July 2022) Publications - Norfolk County Council </p> <p>In second part of Policy replace number 1. with a number 5. and number 2 with a number 6. And remove plural (s) from Policies Maps, as follows: 1. 5. Development proposals will provide electric vehicle charging facilities in accordance with Policy CC 8 'Electric Vehicle Charging'.</p>	To add updated information for clarity to the plan

Proposed Mod Ref	Document Section	Policy / Para / Table / Figure / Map	Page	Representation Ref & Representor	Proposed Modification	Reason for change
					2- 6. Development proposals that would result in the loss of designated Public Car Parks, as defined on the Policies Maps, will not be permitted. Elsewhere, development proposals that would result in the loss of public car parking facilities which make an important contribution to the local parking provision will not be permitted unless alternative equivalent or better quality provision is made available in a suitable location prior to the commencement of redevelopment	
PMIN/6.1/01	6.1 Norfolk Coast Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty & The Broads	6.1.1	87	PC036 North Norfolk District Council	As such protection of these scenic requirements along with conservation and enhancement of wildlife and cultural culture including historic heritage are closely linked and are material considerations for any development proposals located within these areas.	For reasons of clarity
PMIN/6.1/02	6.1 Norfolk Coast Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty & The Broads	6.1.6	88	PC037 North Norfolk District Council	In line with the NPPF , In determining whether a proposed development constitutes major development in the Norfolk Coast AONB is a matter for the decision maker and the Council will consider whether by reason of its scale, form, character and nature, the proposal has the potential to have significant adverse impact on the landscape, wildlife, cultural heritage or special qualities of the AONB and whether it seeks to address the identified housing needs and is in the wider public interest such as helping to address coastal adaptation.	For reasons of clarity and consistency
PMIN/ENV1/01	6.1 Norfolk Coast Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty & The Broads	ENV1 (3)	89	PC037 North Norfolk District Council	Proposals for major development (1) will be refused, unless exceptional circumstances exist and it can be demonstrated that the proposal is in the public interest Footnote 1 as defined by NPPF Paragraph 177	For reasons of clarity and consistency
PMIN/ENV2/01	6.2 Protection & Enhancement of Landscape & Settlement Character	ENV2	92	LPS89 Dr Victoria Holliday	1. Proposals for development should must be informed by, and be sympathetic to the key characteristics and valued features of distinctive Landscape Types and Character Areas, their strategic objectives and guidelines as identified in the North Norfolk Landscape Character Assessment SPD (2021) and Landscape Sensitivity Assessment SPD (2021)(1) and relevant Conservation Area Appraisals . 3. Development proposals should must demonstrate that their location, scale, design and materials will protect, conserve and enhance 4. Proposals should must demonstrate measures that enable a scheme to be well integrated into	To ensure consistency and clarity

Proposed Mod Ref	Document Section	Policy / Para / Table / Figure / Map	Page	Representation Ref & Representor	Proposed Modification	Reason for change
					the landscape, and enhance connectivity to the surrounding green infrastructure and Public Rights of Way network and provide biodiversity enhancements	
PMIN/ENV3/01	6.3 Heritage & Undeveloped Coast	Policy ENV3	94	PC040 North Norfolk District Council	Amend Criterion 1 of Policy ENV3 as follows: 1. In the designated Heritage Coast and Undeveloped Coast , as defined on the Policies Map, development proposals not identified through the Selected Settlements in Policy SS1 , will only be permitted where it can be demonstrated to require a coastal location and which will not be significantly detrimental to the open coastal character.	To add consistency with other policies of the plan
PMIN/6.4/02	6.4 Biodiversity & Geodiversity	6.4.10	97	LPS504 Mr Mike Jones (Norfolk Wildlife Trust)	Add text as follows to Para. 6.4.10: The Norfolk Biodiversity Information Service (NBIS) can provide habitat and species distribution data, including data about County Wildlife Sites and Local Sites of geodiversity importance, to inform Ecological Impact Assessments required for development. N	To add context to the plan
PMIN/6.4/01	6.4 Biodiversity & Geodiversity	6.4.4	96	LPS226 Ms Sarah Mitchell (RSPB)	Amend penultimate sentence of Para. 6.4.4 as follows: 'In the long term, as our climate begins continues to change, this will maintain genetic diversity by allowing populations to adapt to future changes in environmental conditions.	To add clarity to the plan
PMIN/ENV4/01	6.4 Biodiversity & Geodiversity	Policy ENV4, Criterion 2	98	LPS91 Dr Victoria Holliday	Remove word as follows to Policy ENV4 Criterion 2: 2. All Development proposals will be expected to:	To add consistency to the policy
PMIN/ENV4/02	6.4 Biodiversity & Geodiversity	Policy ENV4, Criterion 4, 5, 6	98	PC042 North Norfolk District Council	Make alterations to the Criterion numbers of Policy ENV4 as follows: 3. Adverse impacts of development on biodiversity must be addressed in accordance with the mitigation hierarchy detailed in Policy CC 10 'Biodiversity Net Gain' . Effective avoidance, mitigation and compensation will be secured through the imposition of planning conditions or planning obligations as appropriate including monitoring for the effectiveness of these measures. 4. Where the requirements of this hierarchy cannot be met, development will be refused. 4. 5. Development where there is a likely significant effect on a European site (4) should only be permitted where the proposal is in accordance with the requirements of the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 (as amended) or any successive regulations in order to ensure adverse effects on integrity, alone or in combination, are ruled out and any necessary mitigation secured.	To add clarity to the policy

Proposed Mod Ref	Document Section	Policy / Para / Table / Figure / Map	Page	Representation Ref & Representor	Proposed Modification	Reason for change
					5. 6. Development likely to have a direct or indirect adverse effect on Nationally & Locally Designated Sites: (5) will only be permitted where it can be demonstrated that the need and benefits of the development clearly outweigh both the adverse impacts of the notified special interest features of the site and any adverse impact on the wider network of natural habitats.	
PMIN/6.5/01	6.5 Impacts on Internationally Designated Sites: Recreational Impact Avoidance & Mitigation Strategy	6.5.1	99	PC043 North Norfolk District Council	These Internationally designated sites include Special Protection Areas (SPAs), Special Areas of Conservation (SACs), European Marine Sites, and Ramsar sites (wetland sites designated to be of international importance under the Ramsar Convention) and a range of candidate sites (84).	For reasons of clarity and consistency
PMIN/6.6/02	6.6 Protection of Amenity	6.6.6	101	PC044 North Norfolk District Council	To amend the second sentence of Para. 6.6.6 as follows: 6.6.6 'To assess whether acceptable levels of daylight and sunlight are available to indoor habitable spaces, as well as outdoor amenity and open spaces, proposals will need to be in conformity with the guidance set out within the North Norfolk Design Guide.'	To add clarity to the plan
PMIN/6.6/01	6.6 Protection of Amenity	6.6.8	101	LPS343 Miss Natalie Beal (Broads Authority)	Add text to Para. 6.6.8 as follows: 6.6.8 To date, two locations in North Norfolk (Wiveton Downs and Kelling Heath Holiday Park) have been awarded Dark Sky Discovery Site status and special attention should be given to these areas, and the wider AONB and The Broads, which also has protected dark skies.	To add context to the plan
PMIN/6.7/01	6.7 Protecting & Enhancing the Historic Environment	6.7.2	102	LPS726 Mrs Debbie Mack (Historic England)	Amend text to first sentence of Para. 6.7.2 as follows: 6.7.2 'There are 81 Conservation Areas, 2265 Listed Buildings, including 94 Grade I and 202 Grade II*, 86 Scheduled Monuments and 33 Historic Registered Parks and Gardens within the District. There are also more than 250 buildings on the Council's Local List. '	To update outdated information
PMIN/6.7/02	6.7 Protecting & Enhancing the Historic Environment	6.7.3	102	LPS727 Mrs Debbie Mack (Historic England)	To amend text in Para. 6.7.3 with an additional sentence and provide link as a footnote, as follows: 6.7.3 The number of non-designated heritage assets on the list is likely to increase over time as new buildings and other assets are identified. The Council uses Local Listing criteria as a guide to select buildings or structures for local listing in North Norfolk (87). The requirements of the policy equally apply to any local heritage assets identified and listed in adopted Neighbourhood Plans.	To add clarity and context to the plan

Proposed Mod Ref	Document Section	Policy / Para / Table / Figure / Map	Page	Representation Ref & Representor	Proposed Modification	Reason for change
					(87) https://www.north-norfolk.gov.uk/tasks/conservation/locally-listed-buildings/	
PMIN/ENV7/02	6.7 Protecting & Enhancing the Historic Environment	Policy ENV7	104	LPS727 Mrs Debbie Mack (Historic England)	<p>Add additional subheadings to Policy ENV7 as follows:</p> <p>Conservation Areas 8. Development proposals will conserve and where opportunities arise, enhance the character and appearance of Conservation Areas, where account will be taken of any relevant Conservation Area Character Appraisal and Management Plans in determining proposals.</p> <p>Archaeology 9. Development proposals should identify assets of archaeological significance. An archaeological evaluation will be required for development sites that are known or thought to have the potential to include non-designated heritage assets with archaeological interest. Where appropriate, archaeological remains should be left in situ following further design/engineering work. If the benefits of a particular development are considered to outweigh the importance of retaining archaeological remains in situ, satisfactory excavation and recording of remains will be required before development is begun.</p> <p>Heritage at Risk 10. Development proposals that bring into use or improve an asset so it is no longer deemed at risk on the Heritage at Risk Register will be supported where appropriate to their significance.</p>	To add clarity to the policy
PMIN/ENV7/01	6.7 Protecting & Enhancing the Historic Environment	Policy ENV7, Criterion 8	104	LPS607 Ms Kelly Harris (Thornage Parish Council)	<p>Remove text in Para. ENV7, Criterion 8 as follows: Development proposals will conserve and where opportunities arise, enhance the character and appearance of Conservation Areas, where account will be taken of any relevant Conservation Area Character Appraisal and Management Plans in determining proposals.</p>	To provide consistency throughout the document.
PMIN/6.8/02	6.8 High Quality Design	6.8.17	107	PC121 North Norfolk District Council	<p>Amend text to last sentence of Para.6.8.17 as follows:‘provide new trees, including street trees, hedgerows and additional appropriate native species planting as part of the overall landscaping framework throughout a site (as detailed in Policy CC 12 'Trees, Hedgerows & Woodland');’.....</p>	To add clarity to the plan

Proposed Mod Ref	Document Section	Policy / Para / Table / Figure / Map	Page	Representation Ref & Representor	Proposed Modification	Reason for change
PMIN/6.8/03	6.8 High Quality Design	6.8.19	107	PC046 North Norfolk District Council	Amend the first sentence of Para. 6.8.19 as follows: 'The importance of high quality landscaping and green infrastructure upon the spaces around new development should not be underestimated during in the design stages. of new development.'	To add clarity to the plan
PMIN/6.8/01	6.8 High Quality Design	6.8.2	105	PC045 North Norfolk District Council	Amend title of document referenced in last sentence of Para. 6.8.2 as follows: ..'The protocol draws on key guidance such as Building for a Healthier Life and Active Design which ensures proposal consider matters relating to healthy environments and encourage physical activity which should inform all major development.'	Correction of information in the plan
PMIN/7.1/01	7.1 Delivering Sufficient Homes	Para 7.1.1	111	LPS420 Sarah Peters (ABZAG Ltd)	Over the Plan period 2016 to 2036 the population of North Norfolk is projected to grow by around 8,491 (2016 National Projections) so by the end of the plan period 112,078 people are likely to live here	Correction and consistency
PMIN/7.2/03	7.2 Delivering the Right Mix of Homes	7.2.12	116	PC051 North Norfolk District Council	Loose text below para 7.2.12 needs to be joined with that paragraph.	For presentation consistency
PMIN/7.2/01	7.2 Delivering the Right Mix of Homes	7.2.5	115	PC049 North Norfolk District Council	Add footnote to the end of the first sentence of para 7.2.5 to read See Figure 10 Affordable Housing Zones	For clarity
PMIN/7.2/02	7.2 Delivering the Right Mix of Homes	Para 7.2.6	115	PC050 North Norfolk District Council	Add footnote to the first sentence of para 7.2.6 after "Designated Rural Areas" to read – See Figure 11 Designated Rural Area	For clarity
PMIN/HOU2/01	7.2 Delivering the Right Mix of Homes	Policy HOU 2	117	PC052 North Norfolk District Council	Table notes 4 & 5 of the policy refer to the wrong number figures. Change to: 4. See Figure 11 10 'Affordable Housing Zones' 5. See Figure 12 11 'Designated Rural Area'	For correction/clarity
PMIN/HOU2/02	7.2 Delivering the Right Mix of Homes	Policy HOU2	117	LPS324 Roger Welchman, Armstrong Rigg Planning (Kelling Estate LLP) LPS389 David Jones, Armstrong Rigg Planning (D L Ritchie Will Trust)	Add '25%' to title of column 2 in table	For clarity

Proposed Mod Ref	Document Section	Policy / Para / Table / Figure / Map	Page	Representation Ref & Representor	Proposed Modification	Reason for change
PMIN/HOU2/03	7.2 Delivering the Right Mix of Homes	Policy HOU2	117	LPS324 Roger Welchman, Armstrong Rigg Planning (Kelling Estate LLP) LPS389 David Jones, Armstrong Rigg Planning (D L Ritchie Will Trust)	Remove the word 'contribution' from affordable housing requirements on schemes of 26 dwellings or more.	For clarity
PMIN/7.3/01	7.3 Affordable homes in the countryside	Para 7.3.2	120	PC053 North Norfolk District Council	Complete unfinished word at the end of the second sentence. "...any excessive development costs associated with the develop ment ."	For correction
PMIN/7.4/01	7.4 Essential Rural Worker Accommodation	Para 7.4.1	121	PC054 North Norfolk District Council	Alter sentence two in this paragraph for correction/clarity. The construction of new dwellings in the countryside to meet these needs will in exceptional circumstances , need to be justified in line with the policy requirements.	For clarity
PMIN/HOU7/02	7.7 Re-use of Rural Buildings in the Countryside	Policy HOU7 (Revised criteria 2 as above)	126	LPS200 Miss Donna Clarke	Replace criterion 2 It should be demonstrated that all structural elements and a substantial proportion of the structural elements and the existing fabric of buildings will be retained throughout the conversion	To ensure policy requirements are proportionate and reasonable.
PMIN/HOU7/01	7.7 Re-Use of Rural Buildings in the Countryside	Policy HOU7 Criterion a-e	126	PC057 North Norfolk District Council	Amend the list of criteria from alphabetic to numeric as follows: The change of use and conversion of existing buildings in the designated Countryside Policy Area to residential and commercial uses will be permitted where they comply with all of the following: a- 1. it is demonstrated that the buildings are either vacant or no longer required for their former use;	For consistency throughout the plan

Proposed Mod Ref	Document Section	Policy / Para / Table / Figure / Map	Page	Representation Ref & Representor	Proposed Modification	Reason for change
					<p>b. <u>2.</u> the proposal involves the conversion of existing buildings without significant rebuilding, alteration or extension. It should be demonstrated that all structural elements and a substantial proportion of the existing fabric of buildings will be retained throughout the conversion;</p> <p>c. <u>3.</u> the proposal preserves or enhances the character and appearance of buildings and their setting in accordance with the provisions of the North Norfolk Design Guide;</p> <p>d. <u>4.</u> the buildings have not been erected or altered in the preceding ten years for another purpose; e. it can be demonstrated that the proposal has no adverse impacts on protected species.</p>	
PMIN/7.8/03	7.8 Accessible & Adaptable Homes	7.8.11	128	PC061 North Norfolk District Council	Change to:‘characteristics of the site and, in line with the requirements of Council’s Developer contribution and viability policy of Policy HC4 Infrastructure Provision, Developer Contributions & Viability , provide robust, transparent	For reasons of clarity
PMIN/7.8/04	7.8 Accessible & Adaptable Homes	7.8.12	128	PC062 North Norfolk District Council	Change toThis should not be left to the interrogation of designs and drawings’	For reasons of clarity
PMIN/7.8/02	7.8 Accessible & Adaptable Homes	7.8.5	127	PC059 / PC060 North Norfolk District Council	Homes that meet these standards are well laid out, practice practical to live in This coupled with changing expectations, increased homeworking in recent times, a low wage economy and the need to retain and attract working age population, means dwellings need to be functional and adaptable across the whole market and assist in the retention and attraction of those of working age.	For reasons of correction and clarity
PMIN/HOU8/01	7.8 Accessible & Adaptable Homes	HOU8	128	LPS288 Mr Mamun Madaser (Habinteg Housing Association)	1.b A minimum of 5% of dwellings on sites of 20 units or more being provided as wheelchair adaptable dwellings in accordance with the Building Regulations M4(3) Standard: Category 3.(1)	For reasons of clarity and consistency
PMIN/7.9/01	7.9 Minimum Space Standards	7.9.1	129	PC122 North Norfolk District Council	The national space standard is currently optional but may be introduced locally, where justified, via local policies where an identified need exists, Plans are expected to make use of the optional technical housing standards (footnote 49 of the NPPF) to help bring forward an adequate supply of accessible housing. This includes the internal space standards.	For reasons of clarification

Proposed Mod Ref	Document Section	Policy / Para / Table / Figure / Map	Page	Representation Ref & Representor	Proposed Modification	Reason for change
PMIN/7.9/02	7.9 Minimum Space Standards	7.9.4	129	PC122 North Norfolk District Council	This should be in detailed tabulated form against each technical requirement and schedule for each referenced plot number and against each technical requirement and not left to the interrogation or measurement of designs and drawings. A separate statement and or inclusive section in any Design and Access statement is required, as detailed in Appendix 3.	For reasons of clarity
PMIN/7.9/ 03	7.9 Minimum Space Standards	Appendix 3	238	PC122 North Norfolk District Council	Additional paragraph 27.0.2 Proposals should include in their supporting statement/ Design & Access statement all relevant information that allows the Council to assess compliance with the standard, this includes, referenced against each dwelling, the plot number, the house type reference, type of dwelling (Detached, Semi-detached, Bungalow, Terraced, etc.), the number of bedrooms, the total floor area, number of stories, the number of bed spaces, and all dimensions of room sizes, including for each bedroom floor areas & narrowest width & headroom. In addition, storage space shall also be stated for each type.	For reasons of clarity
PMIN/E1/01	8.1 Employment Land	Para 8.1.4 / Policy E 1	131, 132	PC064 North Norfolk District Council	<p>P131 – Para 8.1.4 amend two of the figures quoted in the paragraph as follows:</p> <p>As such the Council is proposing to designate a total of 272.07 <u>271.07</u> hectares of employment land... This will increase the supply of undeveloped employment land in the District to 71.49 <u>70.49</u> hectares...</p> <p>Within Policy E 1 make the following corrections: To the first paragraph:</p> <p>For the period 2016-2036, a total of 272.07 <u>271.07</u> hectares of land...</p> <p>For the Table the following amendments to be made: In column titled 'New Allocations': Row 1 delete 11.43 and insert <u>10.43</u> Row 8 delete 2.00 and insert <u>1.00</u> Final row delete 17.43 and insert <u>16.43</u></p>	For correction

Proposed Mod Ref	Document Section	Policy / Para / Table / Figure / Map	Page	Representation Ref & Representor	Proposed Modification	Reason for change
					In column titled 'Total Employment Land' Row 1 delete 118.98 and insert <u>117.98</u> Row 8 delete 3.40 and insert <u>2.40</u> Final row delete 272.07 and insert <u>271.07</u>	
PMIN/8.2/01	8.2 Employment Areas, Enterprise Zones & Former Airbases	Para 8.2.4	133	PC114 North Norfolk District Council	Last sentence, to correct typographical/grammatical error, remove the first use of 'would' (keep the comma after 'which') – "Sculthorpe Airbase, being best served by the highway network, is considered to offer opportunities for employment uses which would , for environmental or operational reasons, would not be acceptable on designated Employment Areas within settlements."	For correction
PMIN/E2/01	8.2 Employment Areas, Enterprise Zones & Former Airbases	Policy E2, Criterion 2 (d)	134	PC067 North Norfolk District Council	Amend the text in criterion 2 (d) for clarification: d. there are no significant amenity impacts on occupiers of nearby dwellings or users of adjacent buildings by virtue of increased levels of noise, odour, emissions or dust, and impacts of light <u>or loss of light</u> ;	For clarification
PMIN/E3/01	8.3 Employment Development Outside of Employment Areas	Policy E 3	135	LPS350 Mr Alan Presslee, Cornerstone Planning Ltd (Wensum Pools Ltd)	Amend criterion 1 of Policy E 3 in the following manner: Employment Development Outside of Employment Areas 1. New employment development outside of designated Employment Areas, Enterprise Zones, Employment Allocations or Mixed Use Allocations will only be permitted where it can be demonstrated that: <u>a. The proposal is for the expansion of existing business</u> a- <u>b</u> there is no suitable and available land on designated or allocated employment areas; and b- <u>c</u> there are specific reasons for the development not being located on designated or allocated employment areas, including, but not limited to: i. the expansion of an existing business; ii. i <u>i</u> businesses that are based on agriculture, forestry or other industry where there are	For clarity to better reflect the intention of the policy.

Proposed Mod Ref	Document Section	Policy / Para / Table / Figure / Map	Page	Representation Ref & Representor	Proposed Modification	Reason for change
					sustainability advantages to being located in close proximity to the market they serve; iii. <u>ii</u> industries and/or businesses which would be detrimental to local amenity if located in settlements, including on designated or allocated employment areas; and, e- <u>d</u> . the development would not adversely affect highway safety	
PMIN/8.4/01	8.4 Retail & Town Centre Development	8.4.8/ table 6	137	PC070 North Norfolk District Council	Change table header Table 6 Projected Retail Floorspace Requirements 2016 - 36 26 Add footnote (2) against Holt Add footnote 2 explanation – 2 - Convenience figure for Holt includes Aldi commitment/ permission for 912sqm net convenience sales which to date has not been built.	Factual update & for reasons of clarification
PMIN/8.6/01	8.6 New Tourist Accommodation, Static Caravans & Holiday Lodges, & Extensions to Existing Sites	8.6 Preamble heading	140	PC115 North Norfolk District Council	Add the following words to the heading of the preamble to align with the name of the Policy. “8.6 New Tourist Accommodation, Static Caravans & Holiday Lodges, & Extensions <u>to Existing Sites</u> ”	For consistency and clarity
PMIN/8.6/02	8.6 New Tourist Accommodation, Static Caravans & Holiday Lodges, & Extensions to Existing Sites	Para 8.6.5	141	PC120 North Norfolk District Council	In the last sentence of Para 8.6.5 amend reference to Natura 2000 Sites to Habitats Sites. As with all development, proposals for any accommodation will only be allowed after it has been demonstrated that no adverse impact on the integrity of Natura 2000 <u>Habitats</u> Sites will result.	For consistency
PMIN/8.6/03	8.6 New Tourist Accommodation, Static Caravans & Holiday Lodges, & Extensions to Existing Sites	Para 8.6.5	141	LPS686 Ms Laura Joyce (Natural England) LPS230 Ms Sarah Mitchell (RSPB)	Add the following wording to the end of Para 8.6.5 <u>It is recognised that tourism accommodation proposals will bring risks to Habitats Sites from recreation and that the coastal area will have particular appeal. Tourism accommodation proposals are subject to GIRAMS and Policy ENV 5 ensures risks are addressed for all development that results in additional overnight accommodation.</u>	To provide clarification of the link between Policy E 6 and GIRAMS and Policy ENV 5.

Proposed Mod Ref	Document Section	Policy / Para / Table / Figure / Map	Page	Representation Ref & Representor	Proposed Modification	Reason for change
PMIN/E6/01	8.6 New Tourist Accommodation, Static Caravans & Holiday Lodges, & Extensions to Existing Sites	Policy E 6	141	LPS74 Mr John Long, John Long Planning Ltd (Blakeney Hotel)	Add the word 'new' to criterion 2 as follows: Where the development is for a <u>new</u> hotel,	For clarity
PMIN/E6/02	8.6 New Tourist Accommodation, Static Caravans & Holiday Lodges, & Extensions to Existing Sites	Policy E 6	141	LPS82 Mr John Long, John Long Planning Ltd (Blue Sky Leisure)	In the last sentence of Para 8.6.5 amend reference to Natura 2000 Sites to Habitats Sites. As with all development, proposals for any accommodation will only be allowed after it has been demonstrated that no adverse impact on the integrity of Natura 2000 <u>Habitats</u> Sites will result.	For clarity and consistency
PMIN/8.7/01	8.7 Touring Caravan & Camping Sites	Section 8.7	142	LPS687 Ms Laura Joyce (Natural England) LPS230 Ms Sarah Mitchell (RSPB)	Add the following new paragraph after Para 8.7.4 8.7.5 It is recognised that tourism accommodation proposals will bring risks to Habitats Sites from recreation and that the coastal area will have particular appeal. Tourism accommodation proposals are subject to GIRAMS and Policy ENV 5 ensures risks are addressed for all development that results in additional overnight accommodation.	To provide clarification of the link between Policy E 7 and GIRAMS and Policy ENV 5.
PMIN/Table13/01	Appendix 2: Open Space	Table13	280/281	PC123 North Norfolk District Council	Natural Green Space section <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Encouraging the creation of appropriate native mixed species hedgerows. Additional use of long grass management regimes. Improvements to watercourses and water bodies. Innovative use of new drainage schemes / Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS). Use of appropriate native trees and plants with biodiversity value in high quality soft landscaping of new developments. Amenity Green Space section <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Include high quality planting of appropriate native trees and/or shrubs to create landscape structure and biodiversity value; 	For reasons of consistency

Proposed Mod Ref	Document Section	Policy / Para / Table / Figure / Map	Page	Representation Ref & Representor	Proposed Modification	Reason for change
PMIN/GLS/01	Glossary	Glossary	301	PC106 North Norfolk District Council	Planning for Health – An engagement tool between Local planning authorities, the Norfolk and Waveney Sustainability and Transformation Partnership, The Norfolk and Waveney Integrated Care System (ICS,)Clinical Commissioning Groups, Health Partners and Public Health Norfolk and Public Health Suffolk. Available in the Document Library and from the Norfolk Strategic Framework .	To ensure consistency-factual update
PMIN/GLS/02	Glossary	Glossary	300	PC009 North Norfolk District Council	Add Net Zero Carbon ready Net zero carbon ready homes are those homes that are built with high energy efficiency and using low carbon technologies (e.g., heat pumps or other forms of electric heating instead of gas boilers) that will become net zero carbon when the national electricity grid is decarbonised	For reasons of clarity
PMIN/MISC/01	Whole Document	Various	Various	PC110 North Norfolk District Council	See table below regarding PMIN/MISC/01.	Various
PMIN/	7.1 Delivering sufficient Homes	HOU1		PC0/?	Update table headings MA to add	Clarification

PMIN/4.1/01 & PMIN/4.1/03

Table 2 Small Growth Villages Housing Apportionment

Settlement (Parish)	Indicative Housing Allowance (September 2022)
Aldborough	15
Badersfield (Scottow)	37 23 (1)
Bacton	31 32
Binham	8
Catfield	27 28
Corpusty & Saxthorpe (1)	19 21
East & West Runton	43 46 (2)
Happisburgh	24 26
High Kelling	17 14

Horning (3)	29 31
Little Snoring	16 17
Little Walsingham (Walsingham)	21 22
Overstrand	25 27
Potter Heigham (3) (4)	0
Roughton	24 26
Sea Palling (3) (4)	0
Sculthorpe	20
Southrepps	21 24
Sutton	30 33
Trunch	24 26
Walcott (3) (4)	0
Weybourne	21 14
Total Housing Allowance at 6% growth	452

1. ~~Indicative allowance allocated through adopted Neighbourhood Plan~~ **Badersfied indicative housing allowance of 3%**
2. Housing figures in Small Growth Villages are based on ~~the existing housing stock as detailed in available census data. Census~~ **ONS 2016 population projection data. is only available for East & West Runton are settlements combined for this table. The housing allowance should be broadly distributed evenly between the two settlements.**
3. **Development should take account of the Joint Position Statement on Development in the Horning Water Recycling Centre Catchment and subsequent future revisions (add hyperlink).**
4. Indicates that although the settlement has the service and facilities to be considered an infill village, the settlement is environmentally constrained and no growth is relied upon. Settlement referred to as a 'Constrained Small Growth Village.'

PMIN/MISC/01

The District Council has identified a range of issues throughout the plan which are viewed as basic, logical corrections to phrasing, grammar, spelling or pagination. While insignificant in their individual capacity, when corrected these will cumulatively help to improve the overall integrity, robustness and effectiveness of the Plan. The Council recommends that these are accepted en bloc.

Section / Sub-Section	Page	Policy / Para / Table / Map / Figure	Issue	Proposed Change
3.1 Delivering Climate Resilient Sustainable Growth	26	3.1.1	Purpose of this policy paragraph is numbered. This is an inconsistency.	Remove para number.
3.2 Renewable & Low Carbon Energy	29	Policy CC 2 - 2(d)	Needs a comma after 'shadow flicker'	Add comma

Section / Sub-Section	Page	Policy / Para / Table / Map / Figure	Issue	Proposed Change
3.3 Sustainable Construction, Energy Efficiency & Carbon Reduction	32	3.3.1	Change Governments' to Government's	As stated
3.3 Sustainable Construction, Energy Efficiency & Carbon Reduction	32	3.3.2	Remove extra space at start of paragraph. Change Governments to Government's in lines 1 and 5	As stated
3.4 Water Efficiency	34	Purpose of policy	Punctuation in wrong place, and 110 litre pppd could be clearer and include the word 'per'.	'...110 litres per person per day, (lpppd), as set out in Building Regulations Part G2 2016, or any higher standard...'
3.13 Protecting Environmental Quality	59	3.13.14	Charge or charged for?	Amend to 'Charged-for' or 'chargeable'.
4.2 Development in the Countryside	67	Para 4.2.5	Repeated word. '...essential rural workers accommodation accommodation and that for gypsies...'	'...essential rural workers accommodation and that for gypsies...'
5.2 Provision of Open Spaces	72	5.2.1	'The policy compliments and supports a countywide Green Infrastructure.... Should be 'complements' rather than 'compliments'.	Change to: 'The policy complements and supports a countywide Green Infrastructure.... '
5.4 Infrastructure Provision, Developer Contributions & Viability	77	Purpose of policy	Wording inconsistency	Change 'The purpose of the policy' to 'The purpose of this policy'.
5.4 Infrastructure Provision, Developer Contributions & Viability	80	5.4.15	Missing apostrophe	Change to: 'reflecting the government's approach...'
5.5 Fibre to the Premises (FTTP)	82	Purpose of policy	'or are able to be connected, in the future'	Remove comma.
5.6 Telecommunications Infrastructure	83	Purpose of policy	Wording inconsistency	Change 'The purpose of the Policy' to 'The purpose of this policy'.
6.1 Norfolk Coast AONB	87	Purpose of policy	Full stop missing from end of sentence.	Add full stop to end of sentence.
6.1 Norfolk Coast AONB	88	6.1.7	Punctuation marks at end of each bullet are inconsistent.	Apply correct punctuation marks at end of each bullet.
6.3 Heritage & Undeveloped Coast	94	6.3.1	Incorrect use of comma.	Remove comma after ref to policy CC5 'Policy CC 5 'Coastal Change Management' sets out the requirements...'
6.6 Protection of Amenity	100	6.6.3	'...set out inPolicy HOU 9...'	'...set out in Policy HOU 9...'
6.6 Protection of Amenity	100	Purpose of policy	Apostrophe not required after 'occupants'.	Change to 'ensure that all occupants benefit from...'
8.6 New Tourist Accommodation, Static Caravans & Holiday Lodges, & Extensions	104	8.6.6	Full stop to close a sentence is missing.	Add full stop after '...Airbases'
6.8 High Quality Design	105	6.8.2	'proposal' should be plural	Change to 'proposals'.
6.8 High Quality Design	105	6.8.2	Missing full stop.	Add full stop at end of sentence.
7.1 Delivering Sufficient Homes	111	7.1.1	Missing word: 'are likely [to] live here'.	Change to: '108,693 people are likely to live here'.

Section / Sub-Section	Page	Policy / Para / Table / Map / Figure	Issue	Proposed Change
7.5 Gypsy, Traveller & Travelling Showpeople's Accommodation	123	Title	Missing space in section title.	Add space between 'Gypsy,.....and Traveller'.
7.8 Accessible & Adaptable Homes	126	7.8.1	Missing apostrophe: 'Peoples housing needs change..'	Change to: 'People's housing needs change..'
7.8 Accessible & Adaptable Homes	127	7.8.3	Missing apostrophe: '...around a quarter of districts population...'	Change to: '...around a quarter of [the] district's population...'
7.8 Accessible & Adaptable Homes	127	7.8.3	Second sentence should start with capital 'A'.	Change to: 'A third (30%) of all...'
7.8 Accessible & Adaptable Homes	127	7.8.3	Missing word: '...this points a 49% rise in those...'	Change to: '...this points to a 49% rise in those...'
7.8 Accessible & Adaptable Homes	127	7.8.5	Missing apostrophe: '...the grounds of the Districts ageing population...'	Change to: '...the grounds of the District's ageing population...'
7.9 Minimum Space Standards	130	Policy HOU 9	Missing full stop.	Add full stop to end of first criterion.
8.1 Employment Land	132	Policy E1	Policy box slips very slightly to the next page.	Fit Policy E1 to a single page.
8.4 Retail & Town Centre Development	136	8.4.2	Unnecessary space between end of sentence and full stop.	Remove space at end of para, before full stop.
8.4 Retail & Town Centre Development	137	8.4.8	Missing apostrophe: 'Districts Town centres'	Change to 'District's town centres'.
8.9 Retaining an Adequate Supply & Mix of Tourist Accommodation	145	Policy E9	Consistency issue	Add a space between first sentence and criterion 1
Fakenham 11.1 Residential: Land North of Rudham Stile Lane (F01/B)	170	11.1.7	Unnecessary space.	Delete space after '...Master Plan'
Fakenham 11.2 Land Adjacent to Petrol Filling Station, Wells Road (F02)	172	Purpose of site	Missing space in section title.	Add space between 'Station,.....Wells'.
Sheringham 15.2 Residential: Former Allotments, Weybourne Road, Adjacent to The Reef (SH07)	216	Purpose of site	Missing space in section title.	Add space between 'Allotments,.....Weybourne'.
Tattersett 22.1 Employment: Tattersett Business Park (E7)	261	Purpose of site	Missing space in section title.	Add space between 'Employment,.....Tattersett'.